ENTERED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

February 03, 2025 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

for the

Southern District of Texas

United States of America)
V.)
Andres Ramirez-Duarte) Case No. 4:24-CR-580-23
Defendant	,
ORDER OF DETENT	TION PENDING TRIAL
Part I - Eligibi	lity for Detention
Upon the	
	nt to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own i	
	•
the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i),	is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact
and conclusions of law, as required by 18 0.3.C. § 3142(1),	in addition to any other initings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and Lav	v as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C	C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable
	itions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
and the community because the following conditions	
•	llowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
· ·	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C.
	term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense for which the maximum se	•
、,	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
` *	801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
•	nvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs
— · / · · · ·	or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses
	e) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal
jurisdiction had existed, or a combination	
(e) any felony that is not otherwise a crim	ne of violence but involves:
* * * * *	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has previously been convicted	d of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.
	would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>	
	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was
	pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five years has ela	spsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendance committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the	
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;	
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 year or more is prescribed;	rs
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	ıg,
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assured	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment Lack of stable residence	
the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven: By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community. By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required. In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following: Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted Prior criminal history Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse Lack of stable employment	

of the evidence that there are no conditions that will assure the defendants appearance as required.

The defendant is involved in a large scale money laundering scheme wherein drug money in the United States is used to buy cell phones in the United States. The cell phones are shipped to a drug cartel in Mexico, which sells the phones for money. On February 2, 2024, Defendant delivered \$50,000 in cash to a location that was clearly not a cell phone store. When he was arrested at his home on the federal arrest warrant, agents searched his house and found one half kilogram of cocaine and three pistols in his bedroom. The defendant's involvement in this scheme appears to be longstanding. And it appears he is using his home to store drugs and guns.

Defendant entered the United States from Mexico in 1996 and has lived in the United States since then, except for two years in 2006–07, when he returned to Mexico. Probation reports that he is currently in the process of removal proceedings. He recently traveled to Mexico for a funeral. He left the United States on his Mexican passport, but there is no record of him returning. Thus, it appears he entered without detection, which leads the court to conclude that the Defendant is capable of traveling to and from Mexico without detection. While Defendant has a family and a home in the United States, he is subject to deportation when convicted and the evidence against him is strong (particularly with regard to the drugs and money found in his bedroom, which, admittedly is not yet charged). The defendant has also been unemployed for the past ten months.

There are no conditions the court can set that will assure the defendant's appearance.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	02/01/2025	Peter Bray
		United States Magistrate Judge